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Abstract

Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) is a dye commonly used for the visualization of proteins separated by SDS-PAGE, offering a simple staining procedure and high quantitation. Furthermore, it is completely compatible with mass spectrometric protein identification. But despite these advantages, CBB is regarded to be less sensitive than silver or fluorescence stainings and therefore rarely used for the detection of proteins in analytical gel-based proteomic approaches.

Several improvements of the original Coomassie protocol have been made to increase the sensitivity of CBB. Two major modifications were introduced to enhance the detection of low-abundant proteins by converting the dye molecules into colloidal particles: In 1988, Neuhoff and colleagues applied 20% methanol and higher concentrations of ammonium sulfate into the CBB G-250 based staining solution, and in 2004 Candiano et al. established Blue Silver using CBB G-250 with phosphoric acid in the presence of ammonium sulfate and methanol. Nevertheless, all these modifications just allow a detection of approximately 10 ng protein. A widely famous protocol for colloidal Coomassie staining was published by Kang et al. in 2002 where they modified Neuhoff’s colloidal CBB staining protocol regarding the complexing substances. Instead of ammonium sulfate they used aluminum sulfate and methanol was replaced by the less toxic ethanol. The novel aluminum-based staining in Kang’s study showed superior sensitivity that detects as low as 1 ng/band (phosphorylase b) with little sensitivity variation depending on proteins.

Here, we demonstrate application of Kang's protocol for fast and sensitive colloidal Coomassie staining of proteins in analytical purposes. We will illustrate the quick and easy protocol using two-dimensional gels routinely performed in our working group.

Protocol

Part 1: Two-dimensional (2-D) gel electrophoresis using cup-loading

1. IPG-strip rehydration and isoelectric focusing (IEF)
   - Rehydrate Immobiline DryStrip gels, pH 6-11 (7 cm) in 125 μl rehydration solution [7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 4% CHAPS, 50 mM hydroxyethyl disulfide and 2% IPG Buffer pH 6-11] using Immobiline DryStrip Reswelling Tray for at least 10 hours.
   - Dissolve precipitated protein sample in IEF sample buffer [7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 2% CHAPS, 2% ASB-14, 50 mM hydroxyethyl disulfide and 2% IPG Buffer pH 6-11] corresponding to 60-100 μg protein per 100 μl.
   - After solubilization (at least 30 minutes at room temperature), apply protein sample via anodic cup-loading using sample cups. The volume of the cup is 100 μl (Manifold cups allow up to 150 μl).
     - Note: you can load larger sample amounts if you insert a low-voltage step at the beginning of the focusing protocol and refill the cups while there is still a liquid film in the cup!
   - Perform isoelectric focusing for 11.1 kVh in gradient mode in the Multiphor II Electrophoresis Unit.

2. Equilibration and SDS-PAGE
   - After IEF, the IPG strips were subjected to reduction and alkylation, each time 15 minutes on a shaker, using 1% dithiothreitol and 2.5% iodoacetamide respectively in equilibration solution [50 mM Tris-HCl/pH 8.8, 6 M urea, 30% glycerol and 2% SDS].
   - Rinse the equilibrated strips with H2O and blot them onto whatman paper to remove excess equilibration buffer. Afterwards dip the strips in SDS-running buffer (1X).
   - Second dimension is performed by SDS-PAGE on a vertical electrophoresis systems with a total acrylamide concentration of 12 %. The equilibrated IPG strips were placed on the top of the separating gels and fixed with hot agarose solution [0.5% agarose in running buffer containing bromophenol blue].
   - Proteins were separated for 2.5 hrs, starting at 80 V for 15 minutes followed by 120 V until the dye front reaches the bottom of the gel cassette.

Part 2: Colloidal Coomassie staining with CBB G-250

1. Staining solutions
   - Note: for the preparation of the staining solutions, use chemicals with high quality such as analytical grade (p.a.) and water of high purity as you get from Millipore systems (Milli-Q water).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coomassie solution:</th>
<th>Water (H2O):</th>
<th>CBB G-250:</th>
<th>Aluminum sulfate-(14-18)-hydrate:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.02 % (w/v)</td>
<td>ad 2000 ml</td>
<td>0.4 g</td>
<td>ad 100 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 % (w/v)</td>
<td>H2O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Staining procedure

Part 3: Representative results

If you follow the protocol described above you will get on your 2-D gel distinctive resolved and well stained dark blue protein spots. Even compared to a 2-D gel stained with silver according to Shevchenko et al., a protocol claiming good sensitivity and compatibility with mass spectrometry, we achieve equal staining results.

Figure 1: Final outcome of the experimentation described above. Kang’s Coomassie protocol (A) performs strongly like the silver staining according to Shevchenko et al. (B) in detecting proteins after 2-D gel electrophoresis.

Part 4: Tips and tricks
I have nothing to disclose.

Discussion

Innovative or just another Coomassie protocol?

At the moment there exist multiple protocols for staining procedures with Coomassie Brilliant Blue. Most of them result from minor or major modifications of one of the most commonly used protocols by Neuhoff and colleagues. Also Kang’s protocol based on Neuhoff's formula. But is it really an alternative Coomassie staining method for proteomic research? We will picture two main issues, detection limit and usability, to evidence that it's definitely a beneficial staining protocol compared to other Coomassie protocols and even silver and fluorescent stainings.

Focus I: detection limit

In Kang’s publication, they detected several marker proteins up to 1 ng/band (figure 2). But in our opinion a detection limit of 4-8 ng/band is more likely related to practice (table 1). They further discussed superior sensitivity levels in relation to both a colloidal Coomassie protocol with CBB G-250 in phosphoric acid, ammonium sulfate and methanol, and an acidic silver staining (Silver Stain Plus Kit) from Amersham Pharmacia Biotechnology.

Figure 2: Representative SDS-gel published by Kang et al. that demonstrates the power of the modified CBB-G250 protocol. 250 ng/band proteins were loaded at the most left and 2-fold diluted to the right side consecutively.

Table 1: Staining limits for standard proteins taken from Kang’s publication in comparison to a more realistic threshold for protein detection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>MW [kDa]</th>
<th>Protein amount [ng] (virtual vs. serious)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>myosin</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>1 / 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b-galactosidase</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>1 / 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phosphorylase b</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>1 / 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bovine serum albumin</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2 / 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ovalbumin</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4 / 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To confirm these detection limits and to further validate Kang’s staining method we performed our own test series on common Laemmli SDS-gels with molecular weight markers detected by commercially available fluorescent staining solutions like Sypro Ruby (BioRad) and Deep Purple (GE Healthcare) (figure 3). Interestingly, Kang’s staining scores well or even performs better (in case of Deep Purple) and is therefore definitely superior in terms of labor input and performance.

Figure 3: Comparison of Kang’s CBB-G250-based staining (A) with Sypro Ruby (B) and Deep Purple (GE Healthcare) (figure 3). (C) staining of protein bands in SDS-PAGE. A molecular weight marker containing phosphorylase b (97.4 kDa), BSA (66.2 kDa), ovalbumin (45 kDa), carbonic anhydrase (31 kDa), soybean trypsin inhibitor (21.5 kDa) and lysozyme (14.4 kDa) was analysed, with protein amounts of 1 g/band at the left side of the gel and consecutively diluted 2-fold to the right.
Nevertheless, these detection limits were determined with standard proteins used for molecular weight markers and should be considered with caution. For this reason, we additionally tested Kang's staining method with different proteins that partly have weak binding affinity for CBB (e.g. fetuin, mycin). As demonstrated in figure 4, Kang's protocol is superior to the conventional CBB staining and even performs better than Sypro Ruby, but does not come up to silver staining in this case. However, we believe Kang's modified staining method is an outstanding alternative for sensitive and fast protein detections in gel-based proteomics.

Figure 4: Protein staining of fetuin with (A) Kang's colloidal Coomassie protocol (without destaining) compared to (B) a conventional CBB G-250 staining (40% methanol and 10% acetic acid), (C) Sypro Ruby and (D) silver staining according to Shevchenko et al. Protein amounts of 2 g diluted up to 2 ng were loaded for SDS-PAGE.

Focus II: usability
Beside the improved detection limits does Kang's Coomassie protocol offer several features that makes it preferential towards the stainings of Neuhoff or Candiano and even fluorescence protocols:

- fixing and staining is done in one step
- not more than 4 steps in all
- first staining results are fast visible (in most cases within less than 20 minutes)
- the procedure requires only 2 hours for 80% completion of the staining
- just minimal background staining
- the staining procedure is very reproducible (it is an endpoint method)
- no more handling with toxic alcohol (methanol is replaced by ethanol)
- the solution is almost odourless (no use of acetic acid)
- use of CBB G-250 in low concentrations.

In summary, the formula is quite convenient, relatively nonhazardous, environment friendly and cheap. Furthermore Kang's staining is completely compatible with mass spectrometry analyses. In the end one can say that Kang's Coomassie staining is excellent for a fast and analytical in-gel detection of proteins even for proteomics based approaches.
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